



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,701	05/24/2004	Tracey R. Thomas	57824.0200	3700
66170	7590	04/20/2010	EXAMINER	
Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. (AMEX) ONE ARIZONA CENTER 400 E. VAN BUREN STREET PHOENIX, AZ 85004-2202				MONFELDT, SARAH M
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3684				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/20/2010	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

HSOBELMAN@SWLAW.COM  
DMIER@SWLAW.COM  
JESLICK@SWLAW.COM

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/709,701	THOMAS, TRACEY R.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
SARAH M. MONFELDT	3684	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) SARAH M. MONFELDT. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James M. Hennessee (62,659). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 April 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*4 and 35*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed Examiner's Amendment to claim 4 to correct antecedent basis and to amend claim 35 to recite non-transitory computer-readable medium.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sarah M Monfeldt/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 3684

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)